arXiv:1611.08064v1 [math.CA] 24 Nov 2016

Two families of orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle from basic hypergeometric functions*

A. Sri Ranga

DMAp, IBILCE, UNESP - Universidade Estadual Paulista
15054-000 São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil

November 28, 2016

Abstract

The sequence $\{\, {}_2\phi_1(q^{-k}, q^{b+1}; q^{-\overline{b}-k+1}; q, q^{-\overline{b}+1/2}z)\}_{k\geq 0}$ of basic hypergeometric polynomials is known to be orthogonal on the unit circle with respect to the weight function $|(q^{1/2}e^{i\theta}; q)_\infty/(q^{b+1/2}e^{i\theta}; q)_\infty|^2$. This result, where one must take the parameters q and b to be $0 < q < 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(b) > -1/2$, is due to P.I. Pastro [18]. In the present manuscript we deal with the orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle with respect to the two parametric families of weight functions $\hat{\omega}(b;\theta) = |(e^{i\theta}; q)_\infty/(q^b e^{i\theta}; q)_\infty|^2$ and $\check{\omega}(b;\theta) = |(qe^{i\theta}; q)_\infty/(q^b e^{i\theta}; q)_\infty|^2$, where $0 < q < 1$ and $\mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$. The orthogonal polynomials are given in terms of the sequence of basic hypergeometric polynomials $\{\, {}_2\phi_1(q^{-k}, q^b; q^{-\overline{b}-k+1}; q, q^{-\overline{b}+1}z)\}_{k\geq 0}$.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, Basic hypergeometric functions, Positive chain sequences.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C05, 33C45.

1 Introduction

Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (in short, OPUC) are important objects of study in Classical Analysis. Like their counter part on the real line, have deep connections and applications in many areas of Mathematics and Engineering, Their systematic study, started by Szegő and Geronimus (see [24] and [13]), still remains very active, especially because of their applications to the theory of spectral theory [21, 22].

Given a nontrivial probability measure μ on the unit circle $\mathbb{T} := \{\zeta = e^{i\theta}\colon\ 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ the associated orthonormal OPUC $\varphi_k(z) = \kappa_k z^k + \textit{lower degree terms}$ are defined by $\kappa_k > 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\varphi_j(\zeta)}\, \varphi_k(\zeta)\, d\mu(\zeta) = \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\varphi_j(e^{i\theta})}\, \varphi_k(e^{i\theta})\, d\mu(e^{i\theta}) = \delta_{j,k}, \quad j,k = 0,1,2,\ldots,$$

where $\delta_{j,k}$ stands for the Kronecker delta. Among their fundamental properties is that all their zeros belong to the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and that they satisfy the

*This work has received support from the funding bodies CNPq, Brazil (grants 475502/2013-2 and 305073/2014-1) and FAPESP, Brazil (grant 2009/13832-9).

Szegő recurrence,

$$\Phi_k(z) = z\Phi_{k-1}(z) - \overline{\alpha}_{k-1}\,\Phi^*_{k-1}(z), \quad k \geq 1, \tag{1.1}$$

given here in terms of the monic OPUC $\Phi_k(z) = \varphi_k(z)/\kappa_k$, $k \geq 0$. The coefficients $\alpha_{k-1} = -\overline{\Phi_k(0)}$ are known as the Verblunsky coefficients, and $\Phi^*_k(z) = z^k\overline{\Phi_k(1/\bar{z})}$. It is well known that $|\alpha_k| < 1$ for $k \geq 0$, and that the sequence $\{\alpha_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ uniquely determines the measures μ on $\mathbb{T}$ (see e.g. [20], as well as [11]).

If the theory of OPUC still leaves something to be highly desired, then having only a very few concrete examples (examples with explicit formulas) of these polynomials has to be the one that comes to mind. Most of the known examples are related with orthogonal polynomials on the interval $[-1, 1]$ via the Szegő-transformation (see [24]) or via the DG-transformation (see [25]) and, hence, the values of associated Verblunsky coefficients are restricted to be within $(-1, 1)$. Among the few known examples of monic OPUC with complex Verblunsky coefficients, the ones that we like to highlight are the following.

With $\mathrm{Re}(b) > -1/2$, if $\Phi_k(z) = \frac{(b+\bar{b}+1)_k}{(b+1)_k}\ {}_2F_1(-k, b+1; b+\bar{b}+1; 1-z)$, $k \geq 0$, then $\{\Phi_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is the sequence of monic OPUC such that

$$\frac{2^{b+\bar{b}}\,|\Gamma(b+1)|^2}{2\pi\,\Gamma(b+\bar{b}+1)} \int_0^{2\pi} \overline{\Phi_j(e^{i\theta})}\,\Phi_k(e^{i\theta})\,(e^{\pi-\theta})^{\mathrm{Im}(b)}(\sin^2(\theta/2))^{\mathrm{Re}(b)}d\theta$$

$$= \frac{(b+\bar{b}+1)_k\,k!}{|(b+1)_k|^2}\,\delta_{j,k}.$$

We have for the associated Verblunsky coefficients

$$\alpha_{k-1} = \frac{-(b)_k}{(\bar{b}+1)_k}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

For information regarding the definitions and properties of the Pochhammer symbols $(.)_k$ and the hypergeometric functions ${}_2F_1$, we refer to [1].

The above parametric family of OPUC came to public knowledge in [23]. However, it is important to mention that this family of OPUC are a subfamily of a family of biorthogonal polynomials presented by Askey in the Gabor Szegő: Collected papers [3, p. 304].

The second parametric family of OPUC with complex Verblunsky coefficients that we like to mention here is

$$\Phi^{(b)}_k(z) = \tfrac{(q^{\bar{b}};\,q)_k}{(q^{b+1};\,q)_k}\,q^{k/2}\,{}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-k},\,q^{b+1} \\ q^{-\bar{b}-k+1}\end{matrix};\,q,\,q^{-\bar{b}+1/2}z\Big), \quad k \geq 0, \tag{1.2}$$

again with $\mathrm{Re}(b) > -1/2$. These monic polynomials satisfy the orthogonality

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{\Phi^{(b)}_j(\zeta)}\,\Phi^{(b)}_k(\zeta)\,d\mu^{(b)}(\zeta) = \rho^{(b,b+\bar{b}-1)}_k = \frac{(q;\,q)_k\,(q^{b+\bar{b}+1};\,q)_k}{(q^{b+1};\,q)_k\,(q^{\bar{b}+1};\,q)_k}\,\delta_{j,k},$$

where the probability measure $\mu^{(b)}$ on the unit circle is such that

$$d\mu^{(b)}(\zeta) = \frac{(q;\,q)_\infty(q^{b+\bar{b}+1};\,q)_\infty}{(q^{b+1};\,q)_\infty(q^{\bar{b}+1};\,q)_\infty}\,\frac{|(q^{1/2}\zeta;\,q)_\infty|^2}{|(q^{b+1/2}\zeta;\,q)_\infty|^2}\,\frac{1}{2\pi i\zeta}\,d\zeta.$$

The polynomials $\Phi^{(b)}_k(z)$ are the OPUC obtained by Pastro [18].

For information on the definitions and properties of the q-Pochhammer symbols $(\,.\,;q)_k$ and the basic hypergeometric (or q-hypergeometric) functions ${}_2\Phi_1$ we refer, for example, to Gasper and Rahman [12] and Koekoek and Swarttouw [16].

Apart from the above two examples of OPUC with complex Verblunsky coefficients, it is important to mention the system of OPUC with constant Verblunsky coefficients. This system of OPUC, also known as Geronimus polynomials, has been thoroughly studied by many including Geronimus [13], Golinskii, Nevai and Van Assche [14] and Simon [20, p. 83].

The aim of the present manuscript is to consider the OPUC and other related objects associated with the positive measures $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$ and $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$ on the unit circle given by

$$d\hat{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) = \hat{\rho}^{(b)}\, \frac{|(\zeta;\, q)_\infty|^2}{|(q^b\zeta;\, q)_\infty|^2} \frac{1}{2\pi i\, \zeta}\, d\zeta, \tag{1.3}$$

$$d\check{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) = \check{\rho}^{(b)}\, \frac{|(q\zeta;\, q)_\infty|^2}{|(q^b\zeta;\, q)_\infty|^2} \frac{1}{2\pi i\, \zeta}\, d\zeta, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\mathcal{R}e(b) > 0$. The values of the positive constants $\hat{\rho}^{(b)}$ and $\check{\rho}^{(b)}$, so that the measures $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$ and $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$ are probability measures, are given respectively in (4.1) and (5.1).

The manuscript is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly provide some results on a general family of basic hypergeometric biorthogonal polynomials that follow from [9]. The results given in Section 3 are with respect to a subfamily of these biorthogonal polynomials. The main results of the manuscript, which are given in Sections 4 and 5, are based on the properties of this subfamily of biorthogonal polynomials. Specifically, Section 4 provides information about the OPUC with respect to the measure $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$ and Section 5 gives information about the OPUC with respect to the measure $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$.

2 Basic hypegeometric biorthogonal polynomials

For $0 < q < 1$, $b \neq -1, -2, \dots$ and $c - b + 1 \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, the basic hypergeometric polynomials ${}_2\phi_1(q^{-k}, q^{b+1};\, q^{-c+b-k};\, q,\, q^{-c+d-1}z)$ were the subject of study in [9]. To summarize some of the results presented in [9], let

$$B_k^{(b,c,d)}(z) = \frac{(q^{c-b+1};\, q)_k}{(q^{b+1};\, q)_k}\, q^{k(b-d+1)}\, {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-k},\, q^{b+1} \\ q^{-c+b-k} \end{matrix};\, q,\, q^{-c+d-1}z\Big), \quad k \geq 1. \tag{2.1}$$

Then the following can be stated with regard to these monic polynomials $B_k^{(b,c,d)}$, $k \geq 0$.

They satisfy the biorthogonality property

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b,c,d)}[\zeta^{-j} B_k^{(b,c,d)}(\zeta)] = \delta_{k,j}\rho_k^{(b,c)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k, \quad k \geq 1, \tag{2.2}$$

with respect to the (quasi-definite) moment functional

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b,c,d)}[\zeta^{-j}] = \frac{(q^{-b};\, q)_j}{(q^{c-b+2};\, q)_j}\, q^{jd}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\ .$$

Here, $\rho_k^{(b,c)} = \frac{(q;\, q)_k\, (q^{c+2};\, q)_k}{(q^{b+1};\, q)_k\, (q^{c-b+2};\, q)_k}$.

Moreover, they satisfy the three term recurrence formula

$$B_{k+1}^{(b,c,d)}(z) = (z + \mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b,c,d)}) B_k^{(b,c,d)}(z) - \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b,c,d)}\, z\, B_{k-1}^{(b,c,d)}(z), \quad k \geq 1, \tag{2.3}$$

with $B_0^{(b,c,d)}(z) = 1$ and $B_1^{(b,c,d)}(z) = z + \mathfrak{C}_1^{(b,c,d)}$, where

$$\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b,c,d)} = \frac{1 - q^{c-b+k}}{1 - q^{b+k}}\, q^{b-d+1}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b,c,d)} = \frac{(1 - q^k)\,(1 - q^{c+k+1})}{(1 - q^{b+k})\,(1 - q^{b+k+1})}\, q^{b-d+1}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

To obtain the above results the following contiguous relation

$$\frac{\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b,c,d)}}{f_{k-1}^{(b,c,d)}(z)} = z + \mathfrak{C}_k^{(b,c,d)} - \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b,c,d)} z \frac{f_k^{(b,c,d)}(z)}{\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b,c,d)}}, \quad k \geq 1, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$f_k^{(b,c,d)}(z) = \frac{{}_2\phi_1(q^{k+1}, q^{-b}; q^{c-b+k+2}; q, q^d z)}{{}_2\phi_1(q^k, q^{-b}; q^{c-b+k+1}; q, q^d z)}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

and the continued fraction expansion resulting from it were part of the tools used in [9]. The contiguous relation (2.4) follows from results given by Heine (see [12, p. 22]).

As shown also in [9], if one assumes the additional restriction that $\mathcal{R}e(c+2) > \mathcal{R}e(d) > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b,c,d)}[\zeta^{-j}] = \rho^{(b,c)} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^{-j} \, \frac{(q^{-b+d}\zeta;\, q)_\infty (q^{b-d+1}/\zeta;\, q)_\infty}{(q^d\zeta;\, q)_\infty (q^{c+2-d}/\zeta;\, q)_\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i \zeta} \, d\zeta, \tag{2.5}$$

for $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$, where

$$\rho^{(b,c)} = \frac{(q;\, q)_\infty (q^{c+2};\, q)_\infty}{(q^{b+1};\, q)_\infty (q^{c-b+2};\, q)_\infty}.$$

Here, $\int_{\mathbb{T}}$ represents the integration along the unit circle $z = e^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$.

Note that when (2.5) holds, then we also have for $|z| < 1$,

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b,c,d)}\Big[\frac{\zeta}{\zeta - z}\Big] = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{(b,c,d)}[\zeta^{-j}]\, z^j = {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q,\, q^{-b} \\ q^{c-b+2} \end{matrix}; q,\, q^d z \Big). \tag{2.6}$$

When the parameters b, c and d satisfy

$$c = b + \overline{b} - 1, \quad d + \overline{d} = b + \overline{b} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}e(b) > -1/2,$$

then, as also observed in [9], the respective moment functional is positive definite and the associated polynomials are OPUC with respect to a nontrivial probability measure supported on the unit circle. In particular, choosing $c = b + \overline{b} - 1$, $d = b + 1/2$ and $\mathcal{R}e(b) > -1/2$, the resulting monic OPUC $B_k^{(b,b+\overline{b}-1,b+1/2)}(z)$, $k \geq 0$, are the Pastro polynomials given by (1.2). Moreover, by letting $\mathrm{Re}(b) = \lambda \to \infty$, we also recover the monic Rogers-Szegő polynomials $\mathcal{H}_k(z \,|\, q)$ from $B_k^{(b,b+\overline{b}-1,b+1/2)}(z)$. Precisely, we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} B_k^{(b,b+\overline{b}-1,b+1/2)}(z) = q^{k/2}\, \mathcal{H}_k(z \,|\, q), \quad k \geq 0.$$

The Rogers-Szegő polynomials (see [15, Chap. 17]) are orthogonal on the unit circle with respect to the weight function $|(q^{1/2}e^{i\theta}; q)_\infty|^2$.

3 Polynomials with zeros on the unit circle

The polynomials that play an important role in the present manuscript are

$$P_k(b;\, z) = \frac{(q^{\overline{b}};\, q)_k}{(q^b;\, q)_k} \, {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-k},\, q^b \\ q^{-\overline{b}-k+1} \end{matrix}; q,\, q^{-\overline{b}+1} z \Big), \quad k \geq 0, \tag{3.1}$$

obtained as $P_k(b;\, z) = B_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}(z)$, for $b \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$.

It follows from (2.3) that the sequence of monic polynomials $\{P_k(b;\,.)\}_{k\geq 0}$ satisfies the three term recurrence formula

$$P_{k+1}(b;\,z) = (z + \mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)})P_k(b;\,z) - \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}\, z\, P_{k-1}(b;\,z), \quad k \geq 1, \tag{3.2}$$

with $P_0(b;\,z) = 1$ and $P_1(b;\,z) = z + \mathfrak{C}_1^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}$, where

$$\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)} = \frac{1 - q^{\overline{b}+k-1}}{1 - q^{b+k-1}}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)} = \frac{(1-q^k)\,(1-q^{b+\overline{b}+k-1})}{(1-q^{b+k-1})\,(1-q^{b+k})}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

From (2.2), they also satisfy the orthogonality

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[\zeta^{-j} P_k(b;\,\zeta)] = \delta_{k,j}\, \rho_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2)}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k, \quad k \geq 1,$$

with respect to the quasi-definite moment functional

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[\zeta^{-j}] = \frac{(q^{-b+1};\,q)_j}{(q^{\overline{b}+1};\,q)_j}\, q^{jb}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\ . \tag{3.3}$$

Here, $\rho_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2)} = \dfrac{(q;\,q)_k\,(q^{b+\overline{b}};\,q)_k}{(q^b;\,q)_k\,(q^{\overline{b}+1};\,q)_k}$.

Let $b = \lambda - i\eta$ and $\eta_q = \eta\,\ln(q)$. Then with the observation that $1 - q^{b+k} = 1 - q^{\lambda+k}\cos(\eta_q) + i\,q^{\lambda+k}\sin(\eta_q)$, let us consider the sequence of polynomials $\{R_k(b;\,.)\}_{k\geq 0}$ given by $R_k(b;\,z) = \frac{(q^b;\,q)_k}{(q^\lambda \cos(\eta_q);\,q)_k}\, P_k(b;\,z)$, $k \geq 0$. Then

$$R_k(b;\,z) \;\; = \frac{(q^{\overline{b}};\,q)_k}{(q^\lambda\cos(\eta_q);\,q)_k}\; {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q^{-k},\, q^b \\ q^{-\overline{b}-k+1} \end{matrix};\, q,\, q^{-\overline{b}+1} z\Big), \quad k \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.2) one can easily verify that these polynomials satisfy the three term recurrence formula

$$R_{k+1}(b;\,z) = \big[(1 + i\,c_{k+1}^{(b)})z + (1 - i\,c_{k+1}^{(b)})\big]\,R_k(b;\,z) - 4d_{k+1}^{(b)} z R_{k-1}(b;\,z), \tag{3.5}$$

for $k \geq 1$, with $R_0(b;\,z) = 1$ and $R_1(b;\,z) = (1 + i\,c_1^{(b)})z + (1 - i\,c_1^{(b)})$, where

$$c_k^{(b)} = \frac{q^{\lambda+k-1}\sin(\eta_q)}{1 - q^{\lambda+k-1}\cos(\eta_q)}, \quad d_{k+1}^{(b)} = \frac{(1-q^k)\,(1-q^{2\lambda+k-1})}{4(1-q^{\lambda+k-1}\cos(\eta_q))\,(1-q^{\lambda+k}\cos(\eta_q))}, \tag{3.6}$$

for $k \geq 1$.

Clearly, $R_k^*(b,z) = R_k(b,z)$, $k \geq 0$, which means $R_k(b;\,z)$, $k \geq 1$, are self-inversive (more precisely conjugate reciprocal) polynomials.

Assumption on b: From now on we assume that the value of b be such that $\mathcal{R}e(b) > 0$.

Then the sequence $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k\geq 1}$ from the three term recurrence formula (3.5) satisfy

$$0 < d_{k+1}^{(b)} \leq d_{k+1}^{(\lambda)}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

The sequence $\{d_{k+1}^{(\lambda)}\}_{k\geq 1}$, for $\lambda > 0$, is also the sequence of coefficients that appear in the three term recurrence formula

$$\hat{C}_{k+1}(x; q^\lambda \,|\, q) = x\,\hat{C}_k(x; q^\lambda \,|\, q) - d_{k+1}^{(\lambda)}\,\hat{C}_{k-1}(x; q^\lambda \,|\, q), \quad k \geq 1.$$

of the monic continuous q-ultraspherical polynomials $\{\hat{C}_k(x;q^\lambda\,|\,q)\}_{k\geq 0}$. These polynomials are symmetric and orthogonal on the interval $[-1,1]$. The continuous q-ultraspherical polynomials were introduced by Rogers [19] and as more recent references to these polynomials we refer to [4, 15].

Thus, the sequence $\{d_{k+1}^{(\lambda)}\}_{k\geq 1}$ is a positive chain sequence. This affirmation follows from a well known result regarding orthogonal polynomials defined on any finite interval of the real line, connecting the extreme points of the interval of orthogonality to positive chain sequences. Hence, by the comparison theorem for positive chain sequences, the sequence $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k\geq 1}$ is also confirmed to be a positive chain sequence for any b such that $\mathcal{R}e(b)=\lambda>0$. We cite the book [7] of Chihara for a good source of information on positive chain sequences.

By using the three term recurrence formula (3.5) together with results established in [10], it was shown in [2] that when $\mathcal{R}e(b)>0$ the zeros $z_{k,j}^{(b)}$, $j=1,2,\ldots,k$ of $R_k(b;z)$ are all simple and lie on the unit circle $|z|=1$. Moreover, with $z_{k,j}^{(b)}=e^{i\theta_{k,j}^{(b)}}$, the interlacing property

$$0<\theta_{k+1,1}^{(b)}<\theta_{k,1}^{(b)}<\theta_{k+1,2}^{(b)}<\cdots<\theta_{k,k}^{(b)}<\theta_{k+1,k+1}^{(b)}<2\pi,\quad k\geq 1.$$

also holds.

Polynomials given by a three term recurrence formula of the form

$$R_{k+1}(z)=\big[(1+ic_{k+1})z+(1-ic_{k+1})\big]R_k(z)-4d_{k+1}z\,R_{k-1}(z),\quad k\geq 1,$$

with $R_0(z)=1$, $R_1(z)=(1+ic_1)z+(1-ic_1)$, where $\{c_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is any real sequence and $\{d_{k+1}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a positive chain sequence, have been the subject of study in the recent publications [5, 6, 8, 10, 17]. These polynomials turn out to be para-orthogonal polynomials related to some associated orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. The main results of the present paper, given in Sections 4 and 5, are obtained as applications of results established in [5], [6] and [8].

Since $\mathcal{R}e(b)>0$, from (2.5)

$$\mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[\zeta^{-j}]=\rho^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\zeta^{-j}\frac{(q\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(1/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}{(q^b\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}}/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi i\zeta}\,d\zeta,\tag{3.7}$$

for $j=0,\pm1,\pm2,\ldots$, where

$$\rho^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2)}=\frac{(q;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{b+\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}{(q^b;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}+1};\,q)_\infty}.$$

To be able to apply directly the results presented in [5], [6] and [8], we now introduce the moment functional $\mathcal{N}^{(b)}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2d_1}\mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-j}]&=-\frac{1-q^\lambda\cos(\eta_q)}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}\,\mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[\zeta^{-j+1}]\\&=(1-q^\lambda\cos(\eta_q))\frac{(q;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{b+\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}{(q^b;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\zeta^{-j}\frac{(q\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}{(q^b\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}}/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}\frac{1-\zeta}{2\pi i\zeta}\,d\zeta.\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

The non zero real constant d_1 is arbitrary. However, in order to use results stated in [6], in Section 5 we will take $d_1=(1-t)M_1^{(b)})$ with $0\leq t<1$, where $\{M_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k\geq 0}$ is the maximal parameter sequence of the positive chain sequence $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k\geq 1}$.

From (3.3) and (3.8) we have

$$\mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-j}] = \nu_j^{(b)} = 2d_1 \frac{1-q^\lambda \cos(\eta_q)}{1-q^b} \frac{(q^{-b};\, q)_j}{(q^{\overline{b}};\, q)_j}\, q^{jb}, \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \ . \tag{3.9}$$

The moments $\nu_j^{(b)}$ are such

$$\nu_0^{(b)} = \frac{2d_1}{1+ic_1^{(b)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_j^{(b)} = -\overline{\nu_{-j+1}^{(b)}}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Furthermore, from (2.2) and (3.5),

$$\mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-k+j} P_k(b;\zeta)] = \begin{cases} -2d_1 \dfrac{1-q^\lambda \cos(\eta_q)}{1-q^{\overline{b}}} \rho_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2)}, & j = -1, \\ 0\ , & 0 \le j \le k-1, \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-k+j} R_k(b;\zeta)] = \begin{cases} -\overline{\gamma}_k^{(b)}, & j = -1, \\ 0\ , & 0 \le j \le k-1, \\ \gamma_k^{(b)}, & j = k, \end{cases}$$

for $k \ge 1$, where $\gamma_k^{(b)} = \frac{4d_{k+1}^{(b)}}{1+ic_{k+1}^{(b)}} \gamma_{k-1}^{(b)}$, $k \ge 1$, with $\gamma_0^{(b)} = \nu_0^{(b)}$.

Now consider the polynomials $Q_k(b;z)$ defined by

$$Q_k(b;z) = \mathcal{N}^{(b)}\Big[\frac{R_k(b;z) - R_k(b;\zeta)}{z-\zeta}\Big], \quad k \ge 0.$$

It is not difficult to show that $\{Q_k(b;z)\}_{k\ge 0}$, where $Q_k(b;z)$ is of degree $k-1$, satisfies the three term recurrence formula

$$Q_{k+1}(b;\, z) = \big[(1 + i\, c_{k+1}^{(b)})z + (1 - i\, c_{k+1}^{(b)})\big] Q_k(b;\, z) - 4d_{k+1}^{(b)} z Q_{k-1}(b;\, z), \quad k \ge 1,$$

with $Q_0(b;\, z) = 0$ and $Q_1(b;\, z) = 2d_1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nu_{j+1}^{(b)} z^j - \frac{Q_k(b;\, z)}{R_k(b;\, z)} &= \frac{\overline{\gamma}_k^{(b)}}{R_k(b;0)} z^k + O(z^{k+1}), \\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_{-j+1}^{(b)} z^{-j} - \frac{Q_k(b;\, z)}{R_k(b;\, z)} &= \frac{\gamma_k^{(b)}}{\overline{R_k(b;0)}} \frac{1}{z^{k+1}} + O((1/z)^{k+2})). \end{aligned}$$

Since,

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nu_{j+1}^{(b)} z^j = 2d_1 \frac{1-q^\lambda \cos(\eta_q)}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[\zeta^{-j}]\, z^j,$$

from (2.6) we have for $|z| < 1$,

$$2d_1 \frac{1-q^\lambda \cos(\eta_q)}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}\, {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q,\, q^{-b+1} \\ q^{\overline{b}+1} \end{matrix} ;\, q,\, q^b z\Big) - \frac{Q_k(b;\, z)}{R_k(b;\, z)} = \frac{\overline{\gamma}_k^{(b)}}{R_k(b;0)} z^k + O(z^{k+1}).$$

Since the rational functions $Q_k(b;\, z)/R_k(b;\, z)$ are analytic in $|z| < 1$, an immediate consequence of the above result is that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{Q_k(b;\, z)}{R_k(b;\, z)} = 2d_1 \frac{1-q^\lambda \cos(\eta_q)}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}\, {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q,\, q^{-b+1} \\ q^{\overline{b}+1} \end{matrix} ;\, q,\, q^b z\Big), \tag{3.11}$$

uniformly on compact subsets in $|z| < 1$.

4 Orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$

We now consider positive measure $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$ given by (1.3) for $\mathcal{R}e(b) > 0$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $b = \lambda - i\eta$ be such that $\lambda > 0$. Let*

$$\hat{\rho}^{(b)} = \frac{1}{2(1 - q^{\lambda}\cos(\eta_q))} \frac{(q;\, q)_\infty \, (q^{b+\overline{b}};\, q)_\infty}{(q^{b+1};\, q)_\infty \, (q^{\overline{b}+1};\, q)_\infty}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\eta_q = \eta \ln(q)$. Then the measure $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$ given by (1.3) is a probability measure on the unit circle.

Proof. To obtain information about this measure and the associated OPUC, we can apply the results obtained in [5] with the three term recurrence formula (3.5) and the associated moment functional $\mathcal{N}^{(b)}$. From the integral representation given in (3.8) for $\mathcal{N}^{(b)}$, we observe that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^{-j} d\hat{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) = const\ \mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-j}(1 - \zeta^{-1})]$.

Thus, using [5, Thm. 3.1] we obtain $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$ as a probability measure from

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^{-j} d\hat{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) = \frac{1 + (c_1^{(b)})^2}{4d_1} \mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-j}(1 - \zeta^{-1})], \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots .$$

Hence, from one hand

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^{-j} d\hat{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) = \frac{1 + (c_1^{(b)})^2}{4d_1} [\nu_j^{(b)} - \nu_{j+1}^{(b)}], \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots ,$$

from which, using (3.9), one can confirm that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} d\hat{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) = 1$.

On the other hand, using (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^{-j} d\hat{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) \\ &\quad = \frac{1 + (c_1^{(b)})^2}{2} (1 - q^{\lambda}\cos(\eta_q)) \frac{(q;\, q)_\infty \, (q^{b+\overline{b}};\, q)_\infty}{(q^{b};\, q)_\infty \, (q^{\overline{b}};\, q)_\infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^{-j} \frac{|(\zeta;\, q)_\infty|^2}{|(q^b\zeta;\, q)_\infty|^2} \frac{1}{2\pi i \zeta} \, d\zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the expression for $c_1^{(b)}$ in (3.6) we arrive at the value of $\hat{\rho}^{(b)}$. □

To obtain the sequence of monic OPUC $\{\hat{\Phi}(b; z)\}$ one needs the minimal parameter sequence of $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $b = \lambda - i\eta$ be such that $\lambda > 0$. Let $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the positive chain sequence given by*

$$d_{k+1}^{(b)} = \frac{(1 - q^k)\,(1 - q^{2\lambda+k-1})}{4(1 - q^{\lambda+k-1}\cos(\eta_q))\,(1 - q^{\lambda+k}\cos(\eta_q))}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $\eta_q = \eta \ln(q)$. Then the minimal parameter sequence $\{\mathfrak{m}_k^{(b)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ of $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_k^{(b)} &= 1 - \frac{R_{k+1}(b; 1)}{2R_k(b; 1)} \\ &= 1 - \frac{1 - q^{\overline{b}+k}}{2(1 - q^{\lambda+k}\cos(\eta_q))} \frac{{}_2\phi_1(q^{-k-1},\, q^b;\, q^{-\overline{b}-k};\, q,\, q^{-\overline{b}+1})}{{}_2\phi_1(q^{-k},\, q^b;\, q^{-\overline{b}+1-k};\, q,\, q^{-\overline{b}+1})}, \quad k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof of this results is simple, which is easily obtained from the three term recurrence formula (3.5) by letting $z = 1$. □

Now if we apply the remaining results presented in [5, Thm. 3.1], we can state the following.

Theorem 4.3 *Let* $\mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$. *Then the sequence of monic OPUC* $\{\hat{\Phi}(b;z)\}_{k\geq 0}$ *with respect to the probability measure* $\hat{\mu}^{(b)}$ *given by* (1.3) *and* (4.1) *is such that*

$$\hat{\Phi}_k(b;z) = \frac{1}{R_k(b;1)\prod_{j=1}^{k+1}(1+c_j^{(b)})}\frac{R_{k+1}(b;z)R_k(b;1) - R_k(b;z)R_{k+1}(b;1)}{z-1}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Here, $R_k(b;.)$ *are the basic hypergeometric polynomials given by* (3.4) *and the numbers* $c_j^{(b)}$ *are given by* (3.6).

In particular, the associated Verblunsky coefficients satisfy

$$\hat{\alpha}_{k-1}^{(b)} = -\frac{1-2\mathfrak{m}_k^{(b)} - ic_{k+1}^{(b)}}{1-ic_{k+1}^{(b)}}\prod_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1+c_j^{(b)}}{1-c_j^{(b)}} \quad k \geq 1,$$

where the numbers $\mathfrak{m}_k^{(b)}$ *are defined in Theorem 4.2.*

5 Orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$

We now consider positive measure $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$ given by (1.4).

Theorem 5.1 *Let* $\mathcal{R}e(b) > 0$ *and let*

$$\check{\rho}^{(b)} = \frac{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}{{}_2\phi_1(q,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+1}; q, q^b)}\frac{(q;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{b+\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}{(q^b;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}. \tag{5.1}$$

Then the measure $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$ *given by* (1.4) *is a probability measure on the unit circle.*

Proof. With $\mathcal{R}e(b) > 0$ we clearly have ${}_2\phi_1(q,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+1}; q, q^b)$ finite. Moreover, from (3.3) and (3.7), one can easily verify that for $|z| < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}\,{}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q,\, q^{-b+1} \\ q^{\overline{b}+1}\end{matrix};\, q,\, q^b z\Big) &= \frac{1}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}\mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}\Big[\frac{\zeta}{\zeta-z}\Big],\\ &= \frac{(q;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{b+\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}{(q^b;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{\zeta}{\zeta-z}\frac{(q\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(1/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}{(q^b\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}}/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi i\zeta}\,d\zeta.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking the limit as $z \to 1$ from below, we have

$$\frac{{}_2\phi_1(q,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+1}; q, q^b)}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})} = \frac{(q;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{b+\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}{(q^b;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}};\,q)_\infty}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{(q\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}{(q^b\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}}/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi i\zeta}\,d\zeta\; > 0,$$

The above result can be justified by Abel's continuity theorem. Thus, with $\check{\rho}^{(b)}$ given as in the theorem, we have

$$\check{\rho}^{(b)}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\frac{(q\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}{(q^b\zeta;\,q)_\infty\,(q^{\overline{b}}/\zeta;\,q)_\infty}\frac{1}{2\pi i\zeta}\,d\zeta = 1.$$

□

Now, from (3.8) it is not difficult to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{-1}{2d_1}\frac{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}{1-q^{\lambda}\cos(\eta_q)}\frac{\mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-j}]}{{}_2\phi_1(q,\,q^{-b+1};q^{\overline{b}+1};q,q^b)} &= \frac{\mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[\zeta^{-j+1}]}{{}_2\phi_1(q,\,q^{-b+1};q^{\overline{b}+1};q,q^b)}\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}}(1-\zeta^{-1})\zeta^{-j+1}d\check{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta),\quad j=0,\pm1,\pm2,\dots\ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\{\check{\phi}_k(b;z)\}$ and $\{\check{\Phi}_k(b;z)\}$ be, respectively, the sequences of orthonormal and monic OPUC with respect to the measure $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$. Also denote by $\check{\alpha}_k^{(b)} = -\overline{\check{\Phi}_{k+1}^{(b)}(0)}$ the associated Verblunsky coefficients and $\check{\kappa}_k = \check{\phi}_k(b;z)/\check{\Phi}_k(b;z)$ the respective leading coefficients of $\check{\phi}_k(b;z)$, $k\geq 0$. Since $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$ is a probability measure $\kappa_0 = 1$.

Now let us consider the monic polynomials

$$P_k(z) = \frac{\check{\Phi}_k(b;z) - \check{\tau}_k(b)\,\check{\Phi}_k^*(b;z)}{z-1},\quad k\geq 1,$$

where

$$\check{\tau}_k^{(b)} = \frac{\check{\Phi}_k(b;1)}{\check{\Phi}_k^*(b;1)},\quad k\geq 0.$$

The polynomial $P_k(z)$ is a constant multiple of $\check{K}_k(b;z,1)$, where

$$\check{K}_k(b;z,w) = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\overline{\check{\phi}_j(b;w)}\,\check{\phi}_j(b;z),\quad k\geq 0,$$

are the associated Christoffel-Darboux (or CD) kernels. From the orthogonality of $\{\check{\Phi}_k(b;z)\}$ it is easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}}\zeta^{-k+j}P_k(\zeta)(1-\zeta)d\check{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) = 0,\quad 0\leq j\leq k-1.$$

Hence, from (5.2) we also have

$$\mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^{-k+j}P_k(\zeta)] = 0,\quad 0\leq j\leq k-1.$$

Thus, by considering the determinant representation for the monic polynomials $P_k(z)$ from the above orthogonality conditions with the determinant representation for the monic polynomials $P_k(b;z)$ from (3.10), it follows that

$$P_k(z) = P_k(b;z),\quad k\geq 0.$$

Moreover, comparing the three term recurrence formula in [8, Thm. 2.2] for the polynomials

$$\frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}[1-\check{\tau}_j^{(b)}\check{\alpha}_j^{(b)}]}{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1}[1-\mathrm{Re}(\check{\tau}_j^{(b)}\check{\alpha}_j^{(b)})]}\,P_k(z),\quad k\geq 1,$$

with the three term recurrence formula (3.5), we have

$$\frac{-\,\mathrm{Im}[\check{\tau}_{k-1}^{(b)}\check{\alpha}_{k-1}^{(b)}]}{1-\mathrm{Re}[\check{\tau}_{k-1}^{(b)}\check{\alpha}_{k-1}^{(b)}]} = c_k^{(b)},\quad k\geq 1$$

and

$$\left(1-g_k^{(b)}\right) g_{k+1}^{(b)} = d_{k+1}^{(b)}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

with

$$g_k^{(b)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{|1-\check{\tau}_{k-1}^{(b)}\check{\alpha}_{k-1}^{(b)}|^2}{1-\mathrm{Re}[\check{\tau}_{k-1}^{(b)}\check{\alpha}_{k-1}^{(b)}]}.$$

The sequence $\{g_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is also shown in [8] to be a parameter sequence for the positive chain sequence $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Moreover, since the measure $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$ does not have a pure point at $z=1$, the sequence $\{g_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the maximal parameter sequence of $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. In what follows, we denote $g_k^{(b)} = M_k^{(b)}$, for $k \geq 1$. Hence,

$$M_1^{(b)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{|1-\check{\alpha}_0^{(b)}|^2}{1-\mathcal{R}e[\check{\alpha}_0^{(b)}]}$$

To find an explicit expression for $M_1^{(b)}$ we need to evaluate $\check{\alpha}_0^{(b)}$. Observe that $\check{\alpha}_0^{(b)} = \check{\mu}_1^{(b)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \zeta^{-1} d\check{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta)$. Hence, from $\zeta^{-1} = 1-(1-\zeta^{-1})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\check{\alpha}_0^{(b)} &= 1 - \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1-\zeta^{-1}) d\check{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta) \\ &= 1 - \mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[1]/\,{}_2\phi_1(q,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+1}; q, q^b).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{L}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}[1] = 1$ we then have

$$\check{\alpha}_0^{(b)} = 1 - [\,{}_2\phi_1(q,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+1}; q, q^b)]^{-1}.$$

From this and by observing from (5.1) that $(1-q^{\overline{b}})^{-1}\,{}_2\phi_1(q,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+1}; q, q^b)$ is also positive, we obtain

$$M_1^{(b)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1-q^{\overline{b}}}{1-q^{\lambda}\cos(\eta_q)}\frac{1}{{}_2\phi_1(q,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+1}; q, q^b)}. \tag{5.3}$$

Theorem 5.2 *Let $b = \lambda - i\eta$ be such that $\lambda > 0$. Let $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the positive chain sequence given by*

$$d_{k+1}^{(b)} = \frac{(1-q^k)\,(1-q^{2\lambda+k-1})}{4(1-q^{\lambda+k-1}\cos(\eta_q))\,(1-q^{\lambda+k}\cos(\eta_q))}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where $\eta_q = \eta\ln(q)$. Then if $\{M_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the maximal parameter sequences of $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ then

$$M_{k+1}^{(b)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1-q^{\overline{b}+k}}{1-q^{\lambda+k}\cos(\eta_q)}\frac{{}_2\phi_1(q^k,\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+k}; q, q^b)}{{}_2\phi_1(q^{k+1},\, q^{-b+1}; q^{\overline{b}+k+1}; q, q^b)}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

Proof. The value of $M_1^{(b)}$ is confirmed above. Now from the contiguous relation (2.4) we have

$$\frac{\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}}{f_{k-1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}(1)} = 1 + \mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)} - \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}\frac{f_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}(1)}{\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}}, \quad k \geq 1,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)} = \frac{1-q^{\overline{b}+k-1}}{1-q^{b+k-1}}, \quad \mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)} = \frac{(1-q^k)\,(1-q^{b+\overline{b}+k-1})}{(1-q^{b+k-1})\,(1-q^{b+k})}, \quad k \geq 1$$

and

$$f_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}(z) = \frac{{}_2\phi_1(q^{k+1}, q^{-b+1};\, q^{\overline{b}+k+1};\, q, q^b z)}{{}_2\phi_1(q^k, q^{-b+1};\, q^{\overline{b}+k};\, q, q^b z)}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Thus, we have

$$\Big(1 - \frac{\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}}{(1+\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}) f_{k-1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}(1)}\Big) \frac{\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}}{(1+\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}) f_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}(1)}$$
$$= \frac{\mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}}{(1+\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)})(1+\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)})}$$

Hence, observing that

$$\frac{\mathfrak{D}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}}{(1+\mathfrak{C}_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)})(1+\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)})} = d_{k+1}^{(b)}, \quad k \geq 1$$

and

$$\frac{\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}}{(1+\mathfrak{C}_{k+1}^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}) f_k^{(b-1,b+\overline{b}-2,b)}(1)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1-q^{\overline{b}+k}}{1-q^{\lambda+k}\cos(\eta_q)} \frac{{}_2\phi_1(q^k, q^{-b+1};\, q^{\overline{b}+k};\, q, q^b)}{{}_2\phi_1(q^{k+1}, q^{-b+1};\, q^{\overline{b}+k+1};\, q, q^b)},$$

for $k \geq 0$, we obtain $(1 - M_k^{(b)})M_{k+1}^{(b)} = d_{k+1}^{(b)}$, $k \geq 1$, which gives the required result of the theorem. □

From results given in [6], the sequence $\{Q_k(b;\,1)/[2d_1 R_k(b;\,1)]\}$ is a positive and increasing sequence, and that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{Q_k(b;\,1)}{R_k(b;\,1)} = \frac{2d_1}{2M_1^{(b)}},$$

where $\{M_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is the maximal parameter sequence of the positive chain sequence $\{d_{k+1}^{(b)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Thus, from (3.11), by continuity

$$\frac{1-q^{\lambda}\cos(\eta_q)}{(1-q^{\overline{b}})}\, {}_2\phi_1\Big(\begin{matrix} q,\, q^{-b+1} \\ q^{\overline{b}+1} \end{matrix};\, q,\, q^b\Big) = \frac{1}{2M_1^{(b)}}.$$

which again confirms (5.3).

With the choice $d_1 = M_1^{(b)}$, we also have from (5.2)

$$\mathcal{N}^{(b)}[\zeta^j] = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (1-\zeta)\,\zeta^{-j} d\breve{\mu}^{(b)}(\zeta), \quad j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots.$$

Now, with the three term recurrence formula (3.5) and the associated moment functional $\mathcal{N}^{(b)}$, application of the results given in [6] and [8] gives the following.

For $0 \leq t < 1$, let $\breve{\mu}^{(b)}(t;.)$ be the probability measure given by $(1-t)\breve{\mu}^{(b)}(.) + t\delta_1$. Let $\{m_k^{(b,t)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be the minimal parameter sequence of the positive chain sequence

$\{d_1, d_2^{(b)}, d_3^{(b)}, d_4^{(b)}, \ldots\}$, where $d_1 = (1-t)M_1^{(b)}$, then the monic OPUC $\check{\Phi}_k(b,t;z)$ associated with $\check{\mu}^{(b)}(t;.)$ are given by

$$\check{\Phi}_k^{(b)}(t;z) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}(1+ic_j^{(b)})}[R_k(b;z) - 2(1-m_k^{(b,t)})R_{k-1}(b;z)], \quad k \geq 1.$$

In particular, by considering the case $t = 0$, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3 *Let* $\mathrm{Re}(b) > 0$. *Then the sequence of monic OPUC* $\{\check{\Phi}_k(b;z)\}_{k\geq 0}$ *with respect to the probability measure* $\check{\mu}^{(b)}$ *given by* (1.4) *and* (5.1) *is such that*

$$\check{\Phi}_k(b;z) = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{k}(1+ic_j^{(b)})}[R_k(b;z) - 2(1-M_k^{(b)})R_{k-1}(b;z)], \quad k \geq 1.$$

Here, $R_k(b;.)$ *are the basic hypergeometric polynomials given by* (3.4), *the numbers* $c_j^{(b)}$ *are given by* (3.6) *and the numbers* $M_k^{(b)}$ *are given in Theorem 5.2.*

In particular, the associated Verblunsky coefficients satisfy

$$\check{\alpha}_{k-1}^{(b)} = \prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{1+c_j^{(b)}}{1-c_j^{(b)}} \frac{1-2M_k^{(b)}-ic_k^{(b)}}{1+ic_k^{(b)}}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

References

[1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, "Special Functions", Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

[2] I. Area, E. Godoy, R.L. Lamblém and A. Sri Ranga, Basic hypergeometric polynomials with zeros on the unit circle, *Appl. Math. Comp.*, 225 (2013), 622-630.

[3] R. Askey (editor), "Gabor Szegő: Collected Papers. Volume 1", Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., 1982

[4] R. Askey, M.E.H. Ismail, A generalization of ultraspherical polynomials, in Studies in Pure Mathematics (P. Erdős, ed.), Boston: Birkhäuser, pp. 56-78.

[5] C.F. Bracciali, A. Sri Ranga and A. Swaminathan, Para-orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle satisfying three term recurrence formulas, preprint arXiv:1406.0719.

[6] K. Castillo, M.S. Costa, A. Sri Ranga and D.O. Veronese, A Favard type theorem for orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle from a three term recurrence formula, *J. Approx. Theory*, 184 (2014), 146–162.

[7] T.S. Chihara, "An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials", Mathematics and its Applications Series, New York: Gordon and Breach, 1978.

[8] M.S. Costa, H.M. Felix and A. Sri Ranga, Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle and chain sequences, *J. Approx. Theory*, 173 (2013), 14-32.

[9] M.S. Costa, E. Godoy, R.L. Lamblém and A. Sri Ranga, Basic hypergeometric functions and orthogonal Laurent polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **140** (2012), 2075-2089.

[10] D.K. Dimitrov and A. Sri Ranga, Zeros of a family of hypergeometric para-orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, *Math. Nachr.*, 286 (2013), 1778–1791.

[11] T. Erdélyi, P. Nevai, J. Zhang and J. Geronimo, A simple proof of "Favard's theorem" on the unit circle, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, 39 (1991), 551–556. Also in "Trends in functional analysis and approximation theory" (Acquafredda di Maratea, 1989), 41–46, Univ. Modena Reggio Emilia, Modena, 1991.

[12] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, "Basic Hypergeometric Series", Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[13] Ya.L. Geronimus, Orthogonal Polynomials, English translation of the appendix to the Russian translation of Szegő's book [24], in "Two Papers on Special Functions", Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Ser. 2, Vol. 108, pp. 37-130, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977.

[14] L. Golinskii, P. Nevai and W. Van Assche, Perturbation of orthogonal polynomials on an arc of the unit circle, *J. Approx. Theory*, **83** (1995), 392-422.

[15] M.E.H. Ismail, Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, (With two chapters by Walter Van Assche. With a foreword by Richard A. Askey. Reprint of the 2005 original), Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Aplications, vol. 98, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009.

[16] R. Koekoek and R. Swarttouw, "The Askey-Scheme of Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue", Reports of the Faculty of Technical mathematics and Informatics 98-17, Delft University of Technology, Delft, 1998.

[17] A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, A. Sri Ranga and D.O. Veronese, Extreme zeros in a sequence of para-orthogonal polynomials and bounds for the support of the measure, preprint arXiv:1505.07788.

[18] P.I. Pastro, Orthogonal polynomials and some q-beta integrals of Ramanujan, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **112** (1985), 517-540.

[19] L.J. Rogers, Third memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **26** (1895), 15-32.

[20] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 1. Classical Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 54, part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[21] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 2. Spectral Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 54, part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[22] B. Simon, *"Szegő's Theorem and Its Descendants: Spectral Theory for L^2 perturbations of Orthogonal Polynomials"*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2011.

[23] A. Sri Ranga, Szegő polynomials from hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **138** (2010), 4259-4270.

[24] G. Szegö, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, 4th ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. **23**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975.

[25] A. Zhedanov, On some classes of polynomials orthogonal on arcs of the unit circle connected with symmetric orthogonal polynomials on an interval, *J. Approx. Theory* **94** (1998), 73-106.